IFW

Et has					
TRANSMITTAL FORM used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application Number	10/714,467		
		Filing Date	November 14, 2003		
		First Named Inventor	Kevin D. Jorczak		
		Art Unit			
		Examiner Name	Steven O. Douglas		
Mail Stop Amendment		Attorney Docket Number	7690-0001		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee(s) due: \$		I Disclaimer ion Disclosure ent & PTO-Form pies of ference(s) se to Missing Parts / plete Application claration(s) by ventor(s) ary Amendment I Application Data (s) Sheets et Disk(s) CD(s) If Attorney & Address ion Form	 □ Revocation of & New Power of Attorney, Address Indication Form □ Request for Refund □ After Allowance Communication to a Technology Center (TC) □ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences □ Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) □ Other Enclosure(s): 		
REMARKS					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Individual Name (print/type) Flavio M. Rose, Reg. No. 40,791 Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky a			Popeo, P.C.	Telephone	(650) 251-7700
Signa	`	-		Date	May 25, 2007
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.					
Name (print/type) Joe Clark					
Signature				Date	May 25, 2007

Application No. 10/714,467 Response dated May 25, 2007

Response to Election of Species Requirement dated May 11, 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in Re Application of:

Kevin D. Jorczak et al. Confirmation No.: 2499

Serial No.: 10/714,467 Group Art Unit: 3771

Filing Date: November 14, 2003 Examiner: Steven O. Douglas

Title: REMOTE CONTROL GAS REGULATION SYSTEM

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR ELECTION OF SPECIES

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed May 11, 2007.

Office Action Summary. The Examiner has required election of a single species for prosecution among three species which the Examiner described as follows:

- I. Species I (Figure 3A);
- II. Species II (Figure 3B); and
- III. Species III (Figure 3C).

Election of species. In response, applicants elect Species I. This election is made only for purposes of the Examiner's initial examination of the case.

The election is made with traverse because it is unclear what exactly is encompassed by the species identified by the Examiner. Figures 3A, 3B and 3C depict particular user interfaces which are merely exemplary. It is not clear whether an elected species such as Figure 3A encompasses only user interfaces precisely identical to Figure 3A or whether it also encompasses user interfaces generally having characteristics similar but not identical to those of Figure 3A (for example, a different arrangement or number of buttons, an on-off switch in a different position, or a non-numerical display of gas flow).